

Bacterial Contamination of Intensive Care Units at a Federal Medical Centre in Abia State, Nigeria

Ebubechi Uloma Okey-kalu*, Emmanuel Onwubiko Nwankwo

Department of Microbiology, College of Natural Sciences,
Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike
P. M. B 7267, Umuahia, Abia state, Nigeria

*Corresponding author e-mail: ulomamgbeokwere@yahoo.com

Received: 13 August 2021 / Revised: 5 October 2021 / Accepted: 9 December 2021

Bacterial contamination in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) is of public health concern because it is one of the leading causes of nosocomial infections and a breeding ground for multi drug resistant (MDR) pathogens. This study evaluated the bacterial contamination in ICU in Federal Medical Centre (FMC), Umuahia. The units sampled were adult ICU, and Newborn special care unit. Samples were processed in the microbiology laboratory by standard methods. The antibiotic sensitivity pattern was done by disc diffusion method. Identification of bacteria was done by Gram stain, Motility and biochemical methods. A total of 166 samples from fomites and air were collected, of which 27 (16.3%) yielded bacterial growth. Thirteen (15.9%) were detected from fomites in adult ICU, and 8 (11.1%) were detected from fomites in newborn special care. Six (50%) were detected from air in both units. The common bacterial isolates were *Staphylococcus aureus*, 8 (29.6%), *Escherichia coli*, 6 (22.2%), Coagulase negative Staphylococci, 6 (22.2%), *Pseudomonas* spp., 1 (3.7%), was the least common bacterial isolate. Antibiotic sensitivity of the bacterial isolates was carried out using disc diffusion method. Gram negative bacterial isolates were more sensitive to Ofloxacin, Peflacin, Ciprofloxacin, and Streptomycin. Gram positive bacterial isolates were more sensitive to Ciprofloxacin, Gentamicin, Rifampicin, Erythromycin, Levofloxacin. However, Coagulase negative Staphylococci was highly resistant to the drugs. This study revealed the presence of bacterial pathogens on fomites in the ICU.

Keywords: Bacterial contamination, Intensive care unit, Antibiotic sensitivity pattern

1. Introduction

Intensive care unit (ICU) is a relevant aspect of an effective health care service that provides care of resuscitating, management and monitoring of life-threatening cases. Some clinical cases in the hospital involve high anti-toxin use, long hospitalization favoring rise of multidrug resistant bacterial strains and rapid dissemination, high morbidity and mortality rate (Blot, 2008; Vincent et al., 2009). Microbes that contaminate inanimate surfaces, equipment and indoor environment are capable of surviving on these surfaces and air for a long time (Kramer, Schwebke, & Kampf, 2006). Contamination occur by means of cross-transmission and dissemination, occupancy density, use of therapeutic hardware for numerous patient like stethoscope, outfits and dress (Galvin, Dolan, Cahill, Daniels, & Humphreys, 2012; Gupta, Anand, Chumber, Sashindran, & Patrikar, 2007). Health care worker and patient, their accessories

and clinical specimen that are contaminated can also cause contamination (Dancer, 2008; Huang, Datta, & Platt, 2006; Ulger et al., 2009). Non adherence of health care workers to basic standard method of hand washing, contribute to the spread of pathogens, and cross-transmission amid contact with patient or contaminated inanimate surfaces (Hayden, Blom, Lyle, Moore, & Weinstein, 2008; Nseir et al., 2011). Bacteria that are shed constantly during clinical procedures are harbored by the human skin (Bonten et al., 1996). Pathogens can be shed and recouped from the immediate environment of the patient from infected health care worker and patient (Bonten et al., 1996; Pittet et al., 2006; Rohr et al., 2009). The type of organism, source and contamination with the surface, humidity level and size of the inoculum contribute to the spread of infection (Nasser, Abbas, & Hamed, 2013). Wide range of bacterial pathogens have been discovered in ICU contamination. However potentially clinically

relevant ones include *Staphylococcus aureus*, Coagulase negative *Staphylococcus*, Enterobacteriaceae, and enterococci. These clinically relevant organisms are major causative agents of nosocomial infections, developed as multidrug resistant (MDR) pathogens (Damaceno, Iquiapaza, & Oliveira, 2014; Saka et al., 2016). These MDR pathogens like Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), Vancomycin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (VRSA), Extended spectrum Beta lactamase (ESBL) producing Enterobacteriaceae are used as indicator organisms for assessing the level of adherence to fundamental standard procedure in Intensive care units (Hayden et al., 2008; Javed et al., 2008). Failure in these essential technique tends to build the dissemination of these pathogens within the units and hospital environment. The main objective of this study was to investigate the bacterial contamination of the Intensive Care Unit in FMC, Umuahia by evaluating the presence of potential pathogens.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study location

This study was carried out in the Adult ICU and the Newborn Special Care Unit of Federal Medical Centre, Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria. The study was approved by the ethical committee of FMC, Umuahia before the commencement of Sampling and analysis.

2.2 Sample collection and processing

Settle plate method and swabbing method were used as described (Cheesbrough, 2006). The fomites in each unit were pre-identified and the point for settle plate spots was pre-designated accordingly. For the swabbing method, sterile swab sticks moistened with sterile water were used to swab the surfaces of the fomites. To ensure maximal coverage of a surface area, the swab was rolled back and forth over each surface before carefully capped and labeled appropriately. The samples were sent for analysis to the laboratory. The swab samples were inoculated onto suitable media (Blood agar, MacConkey agar) which were incubated for 24 hours at 37°C.

For the Settle plate method, petri dishes containing culture media that are standard were exposed on some tables for 30 minutes at different areas in the rooms and removed before the health

care workers came in and were also exposed when there was activity in the units for the same duration of time before it was sent for analysis to the laboratory. Suspected bacterial growths were identified and confirmed by standard bacteriological methods.

2.3 Antibiotic susceptibility test

Antibiotic susceptibility testing was performed using the disk diffusion method and was interpreted by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (2011) on Mueller Hinton agar (Hardy Diagnostics, USA). Mueller Hinton culture plates were inoculated by dipping a sterile cotton wool swab into the overnight growth of the organism in suspension prepared to the density of a McFarland no 0.5 opacity standard; spread plate method was used to express excess liquid from the swab before inoculation.

Antibiotic discs that were used have the following concentrations: Streptomycin 30 µg; Ofloxacin 10 µg; Norfloxacin 10 µg; Gentamicin 10 µg; Amoxil 20 µg; Ciprofloxacin 10 µg; Erythromycin 30 µg; Rifampicin 10 µg; Amoxycillin/Clavulanic acid 30 µg; Cefalexin 10 µg; Nalidixic acid 30 µg; Septrin 30 µg.

After overnight incubation, examination of the control and test plates were carried out to ensure the growth is confluent or near confluent. The diameter of each zone of inhibition was measured in mm using a ruler on the plate's bottom. Growth starts at the endpoint of inhibition. The control strain used was *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922.

3. Results

There were a total of 166 samples collected and analyzed from both Adult Intensive Care Unit and Newborn Special Care Unit. The bacterial growth observed that were positive was 16.3%. The bacterial contamination as detected from fomites showed 15.9% from Adult ICU while 11.1% from Newborn Special Care unit. 50% were from air in both Adult ICU and Newborn Special Care unit.

Seven different bacterial isolates were identified. *Staphylococcus aureus*, 29.6%, *Escherichia coli*, 22.2%, Coagulase negative Staphylococci, 22.2% were the majority bacterial isolates while other bacterial isolates were *Enterobacter* spp., 7.4%, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, 7.4%, *Bacillus* spp., 7.4%, and *Pseudomonas* spp.,

3.7%. In Table 1, *Staphylococcus aureus*, 8 (29.6%) was the highest isolated bacteria followed by *Escherichia coli*, 6 (22.2%) while *Pseudomonas* spp., 1 (3.7%) was the least isolated bacteria. In Table 2, mattress, 4 (30.8%) and mobile phone, 4 (30.8%) had the highest number of bacterial isolates while clinical coat and monitor had no bacterial isolates. In Table 3,

mattress, 3 (37.5%) and mobile phone, 3 (37.5%) had the highest bacterial isolates. There was no bacterial isolate isolated from incubator, measuring tape, clinical coat. In Table 4, *Staphylococcus aureus*, 3 (50.0%) was the highest isolated bacteria in both units. In Table 5, the antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial isolates is seen.

Table 1. Diversity and percentage of bacterial isolates in the study.

ISOLATES	NO OF ISOLATES	PERCENTAGE (%)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	8	29.6
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	6	22.2
CoNS	6	22.2
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	2	7.4
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	2	7.4
<i>Bacillus</i> spp.	2	7.4
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	1	3.7
Total	27	100

KEY: CoNS- Coagulase negative Staphylococci, NO: Number



Table 2. Distribution of bacterial isolates from fomites in the Adult ICU at FMC, Umuahia.

ITEMS	NO EXAMINED	NO OF BACTERIAL ISOLATES							Total
		<i>Staph aureus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Entero spp.</i>	<i>Kleb pneu</i>	<i>Bacil spp.</i>	CoNS	<i>Pseudomonas spp.</i>	
Mattress	11	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	4
Bedsheet	13	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Stethoscope	20	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	3
Mobile phone	15	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	4
Clinical coat	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monitor	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		4	4	2	0	0	2	1	13
Percentage (%)		30.8	30.8	15.4	0	0	5.4	7.6	100

KEY: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterobacter spp.*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Bacillus spp.*, Coagulase Negative Staphylococci, NO: Number



Table 3. Distribution of bacterial isolates from fomites in Newborn Special Care Unit at FMC, Umuahia.

ITEMS	NO EXAMINED	NO OF BACTERIAL ISOLATES							Total
		<i>Staph aureus</i>	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Entero spp.</i>	<i>Kleb pneu</i>	<i>Bacil spp.</i>	CoNS	<i>Pseudomonas spp.</i>	
Mattress	16	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	3
Stethoscope	15	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
Mobile phone	15	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3
Weighing balance	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Incubator	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Measuring tape	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Clinical cloth	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total		1	1	0	1	1	4	0	8
Percentage (%)		12.5	12.5	0	12.5	12.5	50	0	100

Table 4. Distribution of bacterial isolates from air using settle plate method.

ISOLATES	AICU	NB	TOTAL	PERCENTAGE (%)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	2	1	3	50
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	1	0	1	16.7
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	0	0	0	0
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	1	0	1	16.7
<i>Bacillu</i> spp.	1	0	1	16.7
CoNS	0	0	0	0
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	0	0	0	0
Total	5	1	6	100



Table 5. Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of isolates.

Isolates GNB	No of isolates	NO (%) SENSITIVE TO									
		OFX	PEF	CPX	AU	CN	S	CEP	NA	SXT	PN
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	2	2 (100)	1 (50)	2 (100)	0	1 (50)	2 (100)	0	1 (59)	1 (50)	0
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	6	4 (66.7)	3 (50)	2 (33.3)	2 (33.3)	3 (50)	3 (50)	0	0	3 (50)	3 (50)
<i>Pseudomonas</i> spp.	1	1 (100)	1 (100)	1 (100)	0	1 (100)	1 (100)	0	0	0	1 (100)
<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	2	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	2 (100)	0	0	0	0
GPB		CPX	NB	CN	AML	S	RD	E	CH	APX	LEV
CoNS	6	1 (16.7)	0	1 (16.7)	0	0	2 (33.3)	1 (16.7)	2 (33.3)	0	2 (33.3)
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	8	3 (37.5)	2 (25)	4 (50)	1 (12.5)	4 (50)	4 (50)	4 (50)	2 (25)	1 (12.5)	4 (50)

KEY: OFX-Ofloxacin, PEF-Peflacin, CPX-Ciprofloxacin, AU-Amoxycillin-Clavulanic acid, CN-Gentamycin, S-Streptomycin, CEP-Cefalexin, NA-Nalidixic acid, SXT-Septrin, PN-Ampicillin, CPX-Ciprofloxacin, NB-Norfloxacin, CN-Gentamicin, AML-Amoxil, RD-Rifampicin, E-Erythromycin, CH-Chloramphenicol, APX-Ampiclox, LEV-Levofloxa

4. Discussion

Bacterial contamination of ICU is the major factor responsible for increased incidence of nosocomial infections, with attendant consequential effect on patient and healthcare workers (Huang *et al.*, 2006; Vincent *et al.*, 2009). Overall, the bacterial contamination rate recorded in the units was 16.3%. Adult Intensive Care Unit has 15.9% while 11.1% was recorded from fomites in Newborn Special Care Unit. The two units recorded 22.2% from air. The breakdown of bacterial contamination rate as detected from the area of collection showed 15.9% in Adult ICU, and 11.1% in Newborn special care unit. This is in contrast to the study by Yusuf *et al.* (2017) where the overall bacterial contamination recorded in the ICU's was 62.8%. Different contamination rate had been reported in other similar studies. In Maiduguri, Nigeria, 62.5% was reported in adult ICU and 38% in neonatal ICU (Abubakar *et al.*, 2014) while 26.9% was reported in adult ICU by Montero *et al.* (2015). In Ilorin, 67.8% was reported in newborn ICU (Damaceno *et al.*, 2014), 17.8% in Iraq (Saka *et al.*, 2016), while 81% contamination rate from unused nonsterile gloves in ICU (Mojtahedi, Khoshrang, Taromsari, KazemnezhadLeili, & Hoorvash, 2014), and no bacterial contamination rate recorded in ICU in Labhore, Pakistan (Hall, Trivedi, Rumbaugh, & Dissanaik, 2014). The bacterial isolates from fomites in both Adult ICU and Newborn Special Care Unit were low. This is similar to the findings of Yusuf *et al.* (2017) in adult ICU but in contrast with his findings in Newborn ICU. The air contamination assessment by settle plate method had 83.3% recorded in Adult ICU, and 16.7% recorded in Newborn special care unit with *Staphylococcus aureus* as the predominant isolates. This compares favourably with the studies carried out by Sapkota *et al.* (2016), Gizaw, Gebrehiwot, & Yenew (2016) and Nwankwo, Nwachukwu, & Nwankwo (2014) where *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most commonly detected organism. These pathogens are mostly normal flora of human skin, and clothing fabrics that are continuously shed during routine activity and clothing fabrics (Gupta *et al.*, 2007; Pittet *et al.*, 2006; Rohr *et al.*, 2009) of the 7 different isolates of bacterial pathogens. *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, and Coagulase negative Staphylococci were predominant in the study. Other studies have reported the predominance of

Staphylococcus spp. and *Bacillus* spp. (Abubakar *et al.*, 2014; Carlet *et al.*, 2007; Galvin *et al.*, 2012; Gupta *et al.*, 2007; Montero *et al.*, 2015; Saka *et al.*, 2016). The recovery of potentially clinically relevant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Coagulase negative Staphylococci, *Escherichia coli*, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, from frequently used fomites, and vital areas within the unit is of Infection control and prevention concern.

In this study, we observed a high resistance pattern with the commonly used antibiotics; Amoxicillin/Clavulanic acid, Ampicilin, Cephalexin, Amoxil, Norfloxacin. Similar pattern was reported in other studies (Abubakar *et al.*, 2014; Montero *et al.*, 2015). The same pattern was seen in Yusuf *et al.* (2017) work with amoxicillin, ampicillin-cloxacillin and cotrimoxazole being highly resistant. The bacterial pathogens did not exhibit multidrug resistance pattern.

The outcome of this study has provided a data to start with on degree of contamination within the units. There are limitations in this study as the duration was short, and the sampling procedure was not comprehensive enough to capture the pre and post cleaning activities that may give a good epidemiological picture of contamination rate.

5. Conclusion

The outcome of this study is of ultimate importance to the hospital infection control and prevention unit. This study has given an overview of the degree of hygiene/cleanliness, indoor air quality and evaluation of units personnel to adherence to method for infection control. It has formed the template to formulate intervention measures. Apart from the bacterial contamination rate, the recovery of pathogens with clinical significance from frequently used fomites and crucial area is of serious concern because of their clinical implication. The hospital infection control and prevention units should adopt periodic surveillance, effective cleaning of fomites before and after use, and adhere to simple basic standard infection procedure, especially hand washing.

6. Acknowledgement

We appreciate the management of Federal Medical Centre, Umuahia, Abia State for approving this study. We appreciate Lady Ugomma Mgbeokwere, Barr. Okey Kalu and Sir. Engr.

Onyedika Mgbeokwere for their financial contributions.

Conflict of Interest

The authors do not report any financial or personal connections with anyone.

ORCID

Author1: Okey-kalu, Ebubechi Uloma

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4872-3801>.

Author2: Nwankwo, Emmanuel Onwubiko

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4025-0886>

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Health Research Ethical Committee of Federal Medical Centre, Umuahia, Abia state, Nigeria (Date; 6th November, 2017). Number of ethics:

FMC/QEH/G.596/Vol.10/289

7. References

- Abubakar, A. S., Barma, M. M., Balla, H. J., Tanimu, Y. S., Waru, G. B., & Dibal, J. (2014). Spectrum of bacterial isolates among intensive care units patients in a tertiary hospital in northeastern Nigeria. *Indian Journal of Scientific Research and Technology*, 2(6), 42-47.
- Blot, S. (2008). Limiting the attributable mortality of nosocomial infection and multidrug resistance in intensive care units. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 14(1), 5-13. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2007.01835.x
- Bonten, M. J., Hayden, M. K., Nathan, C., van Voorhis, J., Matushek, M., Slaughter, S., ... Weinstein, R. A. (1996). Epidemiology of colonisation of patients and environment with vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *The Lancet*, 348(9042), 1615-1619. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(96)02331-8
- Carlet, J., Ben Ali, A., Tabah, A., Willems, V., Philippart, F., Chafine, A., ... Misset, B. (2007). Multidrug resistant infections in the ICU: Mechanisms, prevention and treatment. In R. Kuhlen, R. Moreno, M. Ranieri, & A. Rhodes (Eds.), *25 Years of*

progress and innovation in intensive care medicine (pp. 199-211). Berlin, Germany: Medizinisch Wissenschaftliche Verlagsgesellschaft.

- Cheesbrough, M. (2006). *District laboratory practice in tropical countries*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). (2011). *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; Twenty-first informational supplement*, in CLSI document M100-S21. Wayne, PA: CLSI.
- Damaceno, Q. S., Iquiapaza, R., & Oliveira, A. C. (2014). Comparing resistant microorganisms isolated from patients and environment in an intensive care unit. *Advances in Infectious Diseases*, 4, 30-35. doi:10.4236/aid.2014.41006
- Dancer, S. J. (2008). Importance of the environment in meticillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* acquisition: The case for hospital cleaning. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 8(2), 101-113. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(07)70241-4
- Galvin, S., Dolan, A., Cahill, O., Daniels, S., & Humphreys, H. (2012). Microbial monitoring of the hospital environment: Why and how? *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 82(3), 143-151. doi:10.1016/j.jhin.2012.06.015
- Gizaw, Z., Gebrehiwot, M., & Yenew, C. (2016). High bacterial load of indoor air in hospital wards: The case of University of Gondar teaching hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. *Multidisciplinary Respiratory Medicine*, 11, 24. doi:10.1186/s40248-016-0061-4
- Gupta, A., Anand, A. C., Chumber, S. K., Sashindran V. K., & Patrikar, S. R. (2007). Impact of protective footwear on floor and air contamination of intensive care units. *Medical Journal Armed Forces India*,

- 63(4), 334-336. doi:10.1016/S0377-1237(07)80009-8
- Hall, M., Trivedi, U., Rumbaugh, K., & Dissanaik, S. (2014). Contamination of unused, nonsterile gloves in the critical care setting: A comparison of bacterial glove contamination in medical, surgical and burn intensive care units. *The Southwest Respiratory and Critical Care Chronicles*, 2(5) , 3-10. doi:10.12746/swrccc2014.0205. 053
- Hayden, M. K., Blom, D. W., Lyle, E. A., Moore, C. G., & Weinstein, R. A. (2008). Risk of hand or glove contamination after contact with patients colonized with vancomycin-resistant enterococcus or the colonized patients' environment. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 29(2) , 149-154. doi:10.1086/524331
- Huang, S. S., Datta, R., & Platt, R. (2006). Risk of acquiring antibiotic-resistant bacteria from prior room occupants. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 166(18), 1945-1951. doi:10.1001/archinte.166.18.1945
- Javed, I., Hafeez, R., Zubair, M., Anwar, M. S., Tayyib, M., & Husnain, S. (2008). Microbiological surveillance of operation theatres and ICUs of a tertiary care hospital, Lahore. *Biomedica*, 24, 99-102.
- Kramer, A., Schwebke, I., & Kampf, G. (2006). How long do nosocomial pathogens persist on inanimate surfaces? A systematic review. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 6, 1-8. doi:10.1186/1471-2334-6-130
- Mojtahedi, A., Khoshrang, H., Taromsari, M. R., KazemnezhadLeili, E., & Hoorvash, E. (2014). Bacterial contamination of health care worker's hands in intensive care units in Rasht. *Journal of Nosocomial Infection*, 1(1), 36-43.
- Montero, J. G., Lerma F. Á., Gallego, P. R., Martínez, M. P., Rocha, L. Á., Gaite, F. B., ... Garcia, M. S. (2015). Combatting resistance in intensive care: The multimodal approach of the Spanish ICU "Zero Resistance" program. *Critical Care*, 19, 114. doi:10.1186/s13054-015-0800-5
- Nasser, N. E., Abbas, A.T., & Hamed, S. L. (2013). Bacterial contamination in intensive care unit at Al-Imam Al-Hussein Hospital in Thi-qar Province in Iraq. *Global Journal of Health Science*, 5(1), 143-149. doi:10.5539/gjhs.v5n/p143
- Nseir, S., Blazejewski, C., Lubret, R., Wallet, F., Courcol, R., & Durocher, A. (2011). Risk of acquiring multidrug-resistant Gram-negative bacilli from prior room occupants in the intensive care unit. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 17(8), 1201-1208. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03420.x
- Nwankwo, E. O., Nwachukwu, E., & Nwankwo, P. O. (2014). Microbial contamination of air and protective wears in the operating theatre and surgical wards of two tertiary hospitals in Kano, Northwestern Nigeria. *International Journal of Infection Control*, 11, 1-9. doi:10.3396/IJIC.v11i3.020.15
- Pittet, D., Allegranzi, B., Sax, H., Dharan, S., Pessoa-Silva, C. L., Donaldson, L., & Boyce, J. M. (2006). Evidence-based model for hand transmission during patient care and the role of improved practices. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 6(10) , 641-652. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(06)70600-4
- Rohr, U., Kaminski, A., Wilhelm, M., Jurzik, L., Gatermann, S., & Muhr, G. (2009). Colonization of patients and contamination of the patients' environment by MRSA under conditions of single-room isolation. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*, 212(2), 209-215. doi:10.1016/j.ijheh.2008.05.003
- Saka, K. H., Akanbi, A. A., Obasa, T. O., Raheem, R. A., Oshodi, A. J., & Kalgo, Z. M. (2016). Pathogenic aerobic bacterial contaminants on non-critical hospital surfaces within paediatric ward of Nigerian Hospital. *Journal of Medical Microbiology &*

- Diagnosis*, 5(4), 1-4. doi:10.4172/2161-0703.1000241
- Sapkota, B., Gupta, G. K., Shrestha, S. K., Pradhan, A., Karki, P., & Thapa, A. (2016). Microbiological burden in air culture at various units of a tertiary care government hospital in Nepal. *Australasian Medical Journal*, 9(1), 1-7. doi:10.4066/AMJ.2015.2558
- Ulger, F., Esen, S., Dilek, A., Yanik, K., Gunaydin, M., & Leblebicioglu, H. (2009). Are we aware how contaminated our mobile phones with nosocomial pathogens? *Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials*, 8, 1-4. doi:10.1186/1476-0711-8-7
- Vincent, J., Rello, J., Marshall, J., Silva, E., Anzueto, A., Martin, C. D., ... Reinhart, K. (2009). International study of the prevalence and outcomes of infection in intensive care units. *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 302(21), 2323-2329. doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1754
- Yusuf, J. B., Okwong, O. K., Mohammed, A., Abubakar, K. S., Babayo, A., Barma, M. M., Bello, Z. S. (2017). Bacterial contamination of intensive care units at a tertiary hospital in Bauchi, Northeastern, Nigeria. *American Journal of Internal Medicine*, 5(3), 46-51. doi:10.11648j.ajim.20170503.13